# THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY

**OF** 

#### **ANTHONY SANDONATO**

**AUGUST 22, 2018** 



### **DOCKET NO. 2018-197-E**

APPLICATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF PEPPERHILL-SUMMERVILLE 230 kV LINE, THE WILLIAMS-PEPPERHILL 230 kV LINE SEGMENT, THE CANADYS-FABER PLACE 230 kV LINE SEGMENT, AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES AND FOR CERTAIN LIKE FACILITY DETERMINATIONS

August 22, 2018

Page 1 of 7

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
2		ANTHONY SANDONATO
3		ON BEHALF OF
4		THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
5		DOCKET NO. 2018-197-E
6	IN I	RE: APPLICATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY FOR
7		A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC
8	CO	NVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION
9		OF THE PEPPERHILL – SUMMERVILLE 230 KV LINE, THE WILLIAMS –
10	PE	PPERHILL 230 KV LINE SEGMENT, THE CANADYS – FABER PLACE 230 KV
11	]	LINE SEGMENT AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES AND FOR CERTAIN LIKE
12		FACILITY DETERMINATIONS
13		
14	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
15	A.	My name is Anthony Sandonato. My business address is 1401 Main Street, Suite
16		900, Columbia, South Carolina 29201. I am employed by the State of South Carolina as a
17		Regulatory Analyst in the Utility Rates and Services Division of the Office of Regulatory
18		Staff ("ORS").
19	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
20	A.	I received my Bachelor of Science in Nuclear Engineering from North Carolina
21		State University in 2011. Prior to my employment with ORS, I was employed as an analyst
22		with a global professional, technology, and marketing service firm working with large

		Testimony of Anthony Sandonato	Docket No. 2018-197-E	South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
	August	et 22, 2018		Page 2 of 7
1		investor owned utilities on er	nergy efficiency program	design and implementation. I joined
2		ORS in 2016.		
3	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED	BEFORE THE PUBLI	C SERVICE COMMISSION OF
4		SOUTH CAROLINA ("CO	OMMISSION")?	
5	A.	Yes.		
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE	OF YOUR TESTIMO	NY?
7	A.	The purpose of my t	estimony is to provide	the results of ORS's review of the
8		Application ("Application")	of South Carolina Elect	ric & Gas Company ("SCE&G" or
9		"Company") for a Certificate	of Environmental Compa	atibility and Public Convenience and
10		Necessity ("Certificate") file	d on June 14, 2018 to co	nstruct and operate one 230 kilovolt
11		("kV") transmission line and	l two 230 kV line segme	ents ("Proposed Lines") in Berkeley
12		and Charleston Counties, Sou	nth Carolina. In addition,	I discuss the Company's request that
13		the Commission make a "Like	e Facility Determination.	"The Application was filed pursuant
14		to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-33-10	et seq. (2015) ("Siting A	Act") and S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-
15		304 (2012).		
16	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE A	BRIEF DESCRIPT	TION OF THE PROPOSED
17		TRANSMISSION FACILI	TIES.	
18	A.	The first of the pro	posed facilities is a 23	30 kV transmission line extending
19		approximately 7.8 miles from	n the Company's existin	g Pepperhill 230/115 kV Substation
20		("Pepperhill Substation") in	North Charleston, South	Carolina to the Company's existing

entirely on existing Company right-of-way ("ROW").

Summerville 230/115 kV Substation ("Summerville Substation") near Summerville, South

Carolina ("Pepperhill - Summerville 230 kV Line"). The proposed line would be built

21

22

23

gust 22, 2018 Pa	.ge 3	of	7
------------------	-------	----	---

1		The second of the proposed facilities is a 230 kV transmission line segment
2		extending approximately 3.7 miles from the point approximately 400 feet south of Ancrum
3		Road in Charleston County to the Pepperhill Substation ("Williams - Pepperhill 230 kV
4		Line Segment"). The proposed line segment would be built entirely on existing Company
5		ROW.
6		The third of the proposed facilities is a 230 kV transmission line segment extending
7		approximately 350 feet on existing Company ROW contiguous to the Pepperhill Substation
8		("Canadys – Faber Place 230 kV Line Segment").
9		The Company's Application includes a 230 kV line terminal at the Pepperhill
10		Substation and one new 230 kV line terminal at the Summerville Substation.
11		In addition, the Company's Application includes an approximately 3.9-mile-long
12		rebuild of the Summerville - Williams 230 kV line between Summerville and Ladson
13		Junction. Should the Commission determine that the Company's request does not exceed
14		the criteria for a "like facilities" filing, ORS has no objection to the Company's filing.
15	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE NEED FOR THE PROPOSED
16		LINES AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES?
17	A.	The Company's Transmission Planning studies indicate possible future thermal
18		violations on the Summerville 230/115 kV Transformer #1, thermal violations on the
19		Canadys - Church Creek 230 kV Line and heavy loading on other electrical equipment.
20		These thermal violations and heavy loading of electrical equipment, which may occur as
21		early as 2020, could result in System Operating Limit violations on the electrical
22		transmission system in the southern region of SCE&G's electrical service area.

August 22, 2018

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Α.

	1	- £ 7	
age	4	OI /	

The Company adheres to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation's
("NERC") Transmission Planning Standards, as well as its own Long Range Planning
Criteria, to determine the need for new transmission facilities. By applying these standards
and criteria to the electrical system, the Company determined additional support was
necessary, and the Proposed Lines were the most appropriate solution. The Proposed Lines
will ensure that the Company's system remains in compliance with NERC standards and
its own Long Range Planning Criteria.

## Q. DID THE COMPANY CONSIDER ANY ALTERNATIVES TO CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION FACILITIES?

Yes. The Company evaluated five alternatives to address the overloading of the Summerville 230/115 kV Transformer #1 and the Canadys – Church Creek 230 kV Line. These alternatives included rebuilding transmission lines, construction of new transmission lines, adding additional transformers and constructing a new transmission switching station. Ultimately, the Company determined these alternatives were either not feasible due to the alternatives not addressing all electrical loading issues, time constraints or led to negative impacts for other facilities.

# Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION THE SITING ACT REQUIRES IN AN APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE.

The Siting Act directs that an application contain a description of the location and the major utility facility(ies) to be built; a summary of any studies which have been made of the environmental impact of the facility(ies); a statement explaining the need for the facility(ies); and such other information as the applicant may consider relevant or as the Commission may require.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

A.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2018 August 22 11:57 AM - SCPSC - Docket # 2018-197-E - Page 6 of 8

#### Q. DOES THE APPLICATION CONTAIN THIS INFORMATION?

Yes. A description of the facilities and their locations is presented, as well as siting and environmental reports including a description of the intended use and need for the facilities.

# 5 Q. WHAT IS THE PROBABLE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE TRANSMISSION LINES?

The Company conducted a Transmission Line Siting and Environmental Report ("Study") to determine the environmental impact of the Proposed Lines. The Study takes into consideration the effect of the Proposed Lines on the environment, as well as, cultural, land use and scenic resources. The Study results indicate the Proposed Lines would not have a significant impact on the environment. The Study also states that impact on cultural, land use and scenic resources are also minimized by the proposed routes.

As part of the review of the Company's application, ORS reached out to the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control ("DHEC"), the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources ("DNR") and the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism ("PRT"). DHEC informed ORS on July 26, 2018 that it had no concerns with the Application and does not plan on submitting comments or testimony in the proceeding.

From its review and correspondence with DHEC, ORS concluded that the Study adequately addresses the environmental impacts of the Proposed Lines.

### 21 Q. HOW WILL THE PROPOSED LINES SERVE THE INTEREST OF SYSTEM

#### ECONOMY AND RELIABILITY?

August 22, 2018

Page 6 of 7

1	Α.	The Company indicates in its Application, as well as in testimony, the Proposed
2		Lines are the most cost-effective manner to serve the system and minimize the impacts to
3		the environment, land use, cultural resources, and aesthetics. ORS concluded that the
4		Proposed Lines will be designed to NERC standards and will enhance the reliability of
5		SCE&G's system.
6	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE THE
7		PROPOSED FACILITIES WILL CONFORM TO APPLICABLE STATE AND
8		LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS?
9	A.	Yes. In the Application and testimony, the Company affirms it will conform to all
10		applicable state and local laws and regulations as they pertain to the proposed transmission
11		lines and associated facilities.
12	Q.	WERE ANY COMMENTS FILED IN THIS DOCKET PERTAINING TO THE
13		COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR A CERTIFICATE FOR THE TRANSMISSION
14		LINES?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY SECURED THE NECESSARY RIGHTS-OF-WAY ALONG
17		THE TRANSMISSION LINE ROUTES?
18	A.	Yes. The Proposed Lines are to be built entirely within the Company's existing
19		ROW.
20	Q.	DOES ORS HAVE AN OBJECTION TO THE COMPANY'S REQUESTED LIKE
21		FACILITIES DETERMINATION?
22	A.	No. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-33-110(1) (2015), the Commission is vested
23		with the authority to make a "like facility" determination. Should the Commission

Page 7 of 7

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

- 1 determine that the Company's request meets the criteria for a "like facility" determination, 2 ORS has no objection to the Company's request.
- HOW WILL THIS PROJECT ALLOW THE COMPANY TO CONTINUE TO 3 Q. 4 PROVIDE RELIABLE AND HIGH QUALITY UTILITY SERVICE IN SOUTH
- 5 **CAROLINA?**
- 6 Α. The construction of the Proposed Lines and associated facilities will enhance the 7 reliability of the electrical system in growing areas of the Company's service territory and 8 will provide for the safe and economical transfer of electricity to load centers. The result 9 will be a reliable and high quality delivery of power.
- 10 WILL PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY BE SERVED BY THE Q. 11 TRANSMISSION LINES PROPOSED IN THE APPLICATION?
- 12 Yes. ORS's review concluded that the Proposed Lines and associated facilities will Α. 13 result in minimal impact to the environment and will serve the interests of system economy 14 and reliability. The Proposed Lines and associated facilities will support the Company in 15 providing reliable electric service to its customers in the Company's Southwest service territory. 16
- 17 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 18 Yes, it does. A.